

Operating practices

This condensed survey contains highlights obtained from 63 Air and Ammonia Plant operators and designers. Answers were received from about 60% of the U.S. operators.

The detailed 38-page survey was prepared by a subcommittee with the following members: Peter S. Hepp, Chm., Sun Oil Co.; Norton Walton, SunOlin Chemical (previously with Atlantic Refining); R. F. Bollen, Dow Chemical of Canada; R. W. Rotzler, Monsanto Chemical. The listing includes numbers in parentheses after each statement. These refer to the total number of actual answers, but do not include those who had no reply to the specific question.

Ammonia Loading:

- A. 57% use hose for ammonia loading and 21% use both hose and pipe with swivel joints. (48)
- B. 60% use stainless steel braided or reinforced hose, and 40% use rubber hose. (47)
- C. 49% test at an average of 5 months. (45)
- Of those who used rubber hose, 67% tested hydrostatically at regular intervals. Of those who used stainless steel reinforced hose, 60% did *not* hydrostatically test at regular intervals.
- D. 79% of those who test hydrostatically tested at an average of 350 lb./sq. in. (29)
- E. 26% discarded hose at an average of 11 months. (46) The remainder had no set schedule.
- F. 40% did not ground cars and trucks when loading, and 58% did. (48)

Hydrostatic Testing:

- A. 52% hydrostatically test sub-zero equipment. (46) 33% of the 52% test this equipment at an average of 5 years. (24)
- B. 56% hydrostatically test syn loop vessels. (43) 50% of the 56% test the vessels at an average of 5 years. (24)
- C. 63% hydrostatically tested compressor suction and discharge bottles and interstage separators. (51) 29% tested at an average of 5 years. (31)
- D. 54% hydrostatically tested other vessels such as caustic towers, driers, etc. (52) 37% of the 54% tested at an average of 5 years. (27)
- E. 53% test pneumatically. (53) 67% of the 53% test at an average of 110% of design. (27) 22% tested with air, 41% with N₂, and 26% with both. (27)

Temperature Limits for Carbon Steel:

- A. 84% normally subject carbon steel

to an average temperature of -6°F. (53)

B. 56% have no specifications for carbon steel in low temperature service, and 28% use one of the following specifications: aluminum or silicon killed ASTM-A-300 C1.1 ASTM-Sec. 8, ASTM-A106B, A-212 or A-201. (50)

C. 75% of the companies queried take precautions to protect piping leaving the cold box which, during upsets, may be subjected to abnormally low temperatures. Of those, 41% use copper or stainless piping as precautionary measures, and 17% have low temperature alarms or cut offs. (36)

Compressors:

- A. 64% have tail rods; (56) of those, 42% use tail rod catchers. (48)
- B. Company response indicating specifications for purchasing and periodically testing compressor piston rods, (53)

Specifications	Number of Companies	Per cent
Mfg's. specs.	13	24
Magnaflex	9	17
X-ray or radiograph	3	6
Ultrasonic inspection	5	9
Die check or whitewash	5	9
Straightness	2	4
Specify hardness of new rods	3	6
Use SAE 4140 steel	2	4
Miscellaneous	3	6

Miscellaneous:

- A. How do you prepare spent catalyst for safe removal?

Ammonia Synthesis Catalyst:		
Purge with N ₂	8	29 %
Controlled oxidation	13	46 %
Cool and dump	2	7 %

Cooled, N ₂ blanketed, and dumped into closed container	2	7 %
Cooled, N ₂ blanketed, and dumped into water	3	11 %
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	28	100 %

Deoxo Catalyst:

Purge with N ₂	3	75 %
Submerge in water	1	25 %
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	4	100 %

Shift Catalyst:

Slow, controlled oxidation	14	73 %
Cool with steam inert gas	3	16 %
Dump into water or flood with water	2	11 %
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	19	100 %

Reforming Catalyst:

Slow controlled oxidation	8	73 %
Cool and dump	3	27 %
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	11	100 %

Methanator Catalyst:

Oxidize	1	
---------	---	--

Other:

Oxidize all catalyst before removal	8	
-------------------------------------	---	--

- B. 22% protect nickel catalyst from the formation of nickel carbonyl by steam purging, 26% by N₂ purging, 17% purge by steam followed by air, and 17% use CO free gas. (23)
- C. 79% purge the air out of NH₃ equipment before introducing NH₃. (48)
- 79% use N₂ for purge. (38)
- D. 92% will not use mercury containing instruments on NH₃ systems.

Air Plants, Inlet Air:

- A. 63% monitor for impurities. (40)
- B. Of those who monitor 80% monitor after the compressors. (25) 28% monitor for impurities continuously, and 52% monitor intermittently. (25) 88%

VOL- UME CU. FT.	PURGE, CU. FT./HR. UNLESS NOTED	TURN- OVER, HR.
1000		
to		
1900	210	
2000	120	17.0
2750	0	0
3450	600	6
3500	No answer	..
3200		
to		
6400	210	..
4000	1500	2.7
4500	3000	1.5
5240	1/16 in. H ₂ O press.	..
5700	6 in. H ₂ O press.	..
5700	No answer	..
6200	1/4 in. H ₂ O press.	..
6700	1900	3.5
8000	2000	4
12,000	15,000	0.8
16,450	5000	3.3
17,400	6000	3
17,600	24,000	0.7
24,000	420	57
35,000	8400	4
No ans.	Average of 52%	
-1	(excluding 57)	4

C. 53% test the atmosphere in the hydrogen box for O₂ an average of once every week. (21) 62% test on a routine basis for flammable materials an average of once every week.

D. What limits are placed on these materials?

No fixed limits	24%
Non-explosive	24%
H ₂ 1%; 4%; lower explosive limit	14%
CO—10 ppm	5%
O ₂ —0%; 2%; 4%; 5%; 5%	29%
No answer	24%

(Some gave more than one limit) 120%
Note: 29% set a maximum O₂ content of 3% average.

E. Will you make any repairs in the hydrogen box while running? (20)

No	67%
No welding	14%
Limited repair	5%
Yes	9%

F. When shutdown, will you do hot work in H₂ box without emptying insulation (in a cavity)? (20)

Yes	43%
No	52%

Note: There was no apparent correlation between the source of feed gas and the answers to question E and F.

G. What type of insulation do you have in H₂ box? (21)

Rockwool or Mineral wool	81%
--------------------------	-----

H. Do you purge the air out of process equipment before introducing flammable materials? (21)

Yes	100%
-----	------

I. Purging process equipment: What do you use for purge? (21)

N ₂	100%
----------------	------